

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,203	02/27/2004	Leonard Forbes	303.356US4	9063
21186	7590 07/11/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938			WILSON, ALLAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/789,203	FORBES ET AL.	(Eh
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Allan R. Wilson	2815	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this common that the common that is the common that the common that the common that is the common that the commo	unication.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	<u> April 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>37-51</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-36 and 52-56</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>57-59</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	' '	` '
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachmen	t(s)			
Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🗶 Infori	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 	!)

Application/Control Number: 10/789,203

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, 11, 12, 14-16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 52 and 54-56 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,019,197 to Lohstroh et al. ("Lohstroh").

With regards to claim 1^* , 12, 22, 31, 36 and 52, Lohstroh illustrates in figures 1-10, particularly figure 2, (entire document) forming a memory device comprising a floating gate (storage electrode) 4 of aluminum, which has an electron affinity of about 2.8 eV*, and an insulation layer 3 of SiO_2 which has an electron affinity of 0.9eV such that a barrier energy between them is less than 3.3 eV (2.8 – 0.9 = 1.9 eV). Lohstroh also teaches the method of operating the device wherein data is stored by changing charge on the floating gate (abstract and col. 1, lines 5-59). Refreshing data based on a data charge retention time that depends upon the barrier energy is an inherent function of the device and since the prior art has the same or better material properties (electron affinity) as the claimed invention it will have the same or better

^{*} The value for the electron affinity of aluminum is based on "UPS of Negative Aluminum Clusters" by Taylor et al. *Chemical Physics Letters*, Vol. 152, no. 4.5, 18 Nov. 1988.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,203

Art Unit: 2815

inherent function (e.g. less that 12 volts is used to erase the stored charge)*. It is considered inherent that the method includes a system with a processor to operate the stored data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-10, 17, 20, 26, 27, 29 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohstroh. Though Lohstroh taught the device and method of operating the device of claims 1, 12, 22 and 31, Lohstroh did not specifically teach the claimed operating parameters such as write/erase times, charge retention times or detected signal strength.

However, these limitations are considered either inherent in the device/method of Lohstroh or obvious there over. The limitations are considered inherent because they are dependent on the materials/barrier energy for the floating gate and insulator. Because Lohstroh teaches the material properties as instantly taught by applicant and thus achieves a device having the claimed barrier energy, the claimed operating parameters, which are dependent on the barrier energy, are considered inherent. Alternately, the claimed operating parameters are considered merely optimization of that which is known in the art. Faster operation of a device by means of e.g. write/erase times achieves quicker and thus improved performance. As such, the limitations are considered obvious.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 2, 13, 23 32 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohstroh et al. in view of 5,032,883 to Wakai et al. Lohstroh taught the device and method of claims 1, 12, 22, 31 and 52 but did not teach the device further comprising an insulator having a permittivity higher than that of silicon dioxide. Wakai teaches that it is known in the art to form a transistor in which a SiC active layer is separated from its gate electrode by either silicon dioxide layer or a silicon nitride layer (col. 5, lines 45-62). The use of silicon nitride in place of silicon dioxide is considered a substitution of an equivalent material and for that reason is not patentable.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 37-51 are allowed.

Claims 57-59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest, either alone or in combination, a method of operating a memory device which has the electron affinity and barrier energy as instantly claimed, in combination with the additional operating steps and structural elements as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,203

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from an examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Allan Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1738. Examiner Wilson can normally be reached 7:00-4:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,203 Page 6

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan R. Wilson Primary Examiner

July 6, 2005